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Convert coal to gas >>>

1 LNG train 4 mtpa
1 ship per week
220 PJ pa

LNG exports will make Australia poor in alternative transport fuels

Net crude oil exports peaked in 2005, down by 30% in 2020>>>

20% of diesel engines converted to gas
121 PJ pa

Use as alternative fuel

630 MW CCGT
44 PJ pa
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Summary:

(1) The Federal Treasury’s carbon price modelling for replacing brown coal in the Latrobe valley power stations by natural gas over a period until 2050 uses coal seam gas which has already been committed in LNG exports from Gladstone

(2) The whole East coast gas demand has been over booked by 78% in relation to 3P reserves and furthermore rests on the untested assumption that speculative 2C resources can be developed economically in a period of economic and financial challenges

(3) No gas has been set aside for use as transport fuel to replace dwindling oil production and declining global net oil exports which will lead to a deep global oil crisis within this decade

(4) No priorities have been set for the use of gas in Australia: (a) replace oil (b) replace coal

(5) The coal seam gas business in the context of the lack of international agreements to leave an energy equivalent amount of coal in the ground for good will INCREASE CO2 emissions.

(6) Every LNG ship generates future compensation claims, in particular from Asian neighbours whose river deltas will be flooded and whose population will head for the North of Australia to look for new cropping land.

Recommendations:

(a) Due to the interconnection of the East coast gas network the whole gas supply situation from Queensland to Victoria must be reviewed. It is already very late because many LNG projects in Queensland have already been approved and/or are under construction. This will have a negative impact on NSW.

(b) The Inquiry should first assess for which purposes how much gas is needed in NSW over the projection period. It is not sufficient to argue there will be gas demand growth of x percent. Requirements from utilities, generators, residential use, other projects and for transport fuels (equivalent to 5.5 LNG trains) must be specifically listed and prioritised.

(c) On the gas supply side a clear distinction should be made between most economic 2P (proven and probable), more expensive possible resources (giving a total of 3P) and speculative 2C resources. 2P reserves must be set aside for priority uses.

(d) Under no circumstances should NSW embark on an LNG project as the gas will be needed for local uses.

(e) The Sydney Metropolitan Strategy must be urgently reviewed because the Planning Department has done no energy requirement calculations (oil, gas, coal) for its growth plans.

(f) Instead of an export bonanza, resource nationalism and conservation must be promoted.
(1) CSG gas use in Treasury’s carbon price modelling

The Treasury website on carbon price modelling
http://www.treasury.gov.au/carbonpricemodelling/content/consultantreports.asp

has this report from Roam Consulting dated 3/8/2011

Projections of Electricity Generation in Australia to 2050

It contains a graph on phasing out brown coal in the Latrobe valley under the “core policy scenario” by using various technologies:

Conventional use of brown coal in existing power plants is phased out and replaced by natural gas over the next 30 years. In the last 10 years of the projection period carbon capture and storage is assumed to be commercially viable, using again brown coal.

When digitising the graph in a spreadsheet
one finds that the gas plant capacity would have to reach 8,800 MW by the mid 2030s in order to allow a growth in electricity consumption of 2.5%

(1.1) Gas requirements Latrobe valley

These Latrobe valley brown coal power plants are base load plants. Let us assume they run 80% of the time so each 1,000 MW would produce 1,000 MW * 0.8 * 365 * 24 h = 7,000 GWh

Using 1 KWh = 3.6 MJ this would be 25.2 PJ pa

Taking an efficiency factor of 50% (some coal plants are running at 35% now) that would be a primary energy requirement of 50 PJ pa of gas for each 1,000 MW.

The total requirement would therefore be 8.8 * 50 = 440 PJ pa in 2037. The total gas requirement until 2050 would be roughly

Subtotal (1) 10,000 PJ until 2050

(1.2) Offsetting conventional gas decline and providing for normal domgas growth

Conventional gas production from the East coast is fully booked for normal current and future demand.

Let us take ABARE report 06.26 (from December 2006) which actually concedes that Eastern gas demand will outstrip supply by 2012/13 - see page 42


and calculate the total to fill the gap between conventional gas declining from 600 PJ pa to 415 PJ pa and demand going up to 1,100 PJ in 2030.
For 2030-2050 let us assume that gas demand remains at 1,100 PJ pa and conventional gas is petering out by 2050 so we'll need another

\[1,100 \times 20 - 415 \times 20 \times 0.5 = 17,850 \text{ PJ}\]

**Subtotal (2) 26,650 PJ until 2050**

(1.3) LNG exports from Queensland

We have following LNG projects in Qld with around 1,900 PJ pa. (without detailed phasing)

![LNG production from CSG in Qld by reserve category](chart)

For comparison and to understand the magnitude of this exported energy, replacing the East coast’s 33,000 ML of diesel and petrol consumed in 2009 - a quasi recession year - a minimum of 1,200 PJ pa would be needed.

The cumulative production in the above graph is:

- 2P reserves: 28,500 PJ
- 3P: 44,000 PJ
- 2C: 14,300 PJ

**Subtotal (3) 3P plus 2C is 58,300 PJ**

(1.4) Adding it all up until 2050

- Treasury's Latrobe valley transition to gas: 10,000 PJ
- Conventional gas decline offset and domestic growth: 26,650 PJ
- 4 LNG projects at Gladstone: 58,300 PJ
- Grand total .......................................................... 94,800 PJ

As we shall see under (1.6) this gas has been overbooked.
These are primary energy transition graphs in Roam’s additional projections:

![Figure 5.1 – Total Annual Generation by Technology](image)

It should be noted that more gas than just that for the Latrobe valley will be required.

It goes beyond the scope of this submission to evaluate these graphs for underlying gas requirements but it should be clear from the following calculations that gas has been overbooked already on the basis of the Latrobe valley transition.

(1.5) CSG reserves as at Dec 2010

CSG reserves as at Dec 2010 are taken from Energy Quest’s website

Table 2 Australian coal seam gas reserves and resources December 2010 (PJ)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Net (N)/ Gross (G)</th>
<th>Production PJ year ended Sept 2010</th>
<th>Reserves/Resources PJ as at December 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1P</td>
<td>2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin Energy fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APLNG</td>
<td>Surat-Bowen</td>
<td>N 19</td>
<td>1.527 10 099 14 530 4 844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Gully (minority interests)</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP788P (Irons Bark)</td>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>G 1 003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Origin Energy Fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.527 10 147 15 593 4 844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QGC and B/G Group operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCLNG (excl Wolseley Ck)</td>
<td>Surat-Bowen</td>
<td>N 14.9</td>
<td>727 7 420 7 420 10 730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolseley Creek</td>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>G 26</td>
<td>260 853</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total B/G fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>753 7 700 8 273 10 961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell/PetroChina operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>G 6.6</td>
<td>472 4 648 6 375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL 191 Morabah Gas Project</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 4</td>
<td>336 999 2 040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP 364P North Goonvella</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 492</td>
<td>2 614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Shell/PetroChina fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>808 6 139 11 029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos-operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLNG</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>N 14</td>
<td>1.427 5 005 7 737 3 732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahailo (ATP 337)</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Queensland</td>
<td>Surat/Bowen</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1.427 5 005 7 737 3 732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Santos fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molopo-operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungii, Harcourt</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 0.2</td>
<td>34 250 628 719</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lilyvale and Oak Park</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 65</td>
<td>242 166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timmy</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 371</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Molopo fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 315 870 1 326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestSide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Seamgas</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 0.9</td>
<td>56 184 334</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilbrook/Pinaru</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WestSide fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56 184 756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bow Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Juan</td>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>G 101</td>
<td>101 196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackwater</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 59</td>
<td>1 596 173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 871</td>
<td>439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanornado</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 1 402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bow Energy fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160 2 662 2 014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icon Energy (Lydia)</td>
<td>Surat</td>
<td>G 1 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Energy (Monslatt)</td>
<td>Bowen</td>
<td>G 454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet Ridge (Gunn Project Area)</td>
<td>Galilee</td>
<td>G 67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Queensland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59 4 607 29 649 46 928 25 055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Star Gas (Narabri)</td>
<td>Gunnedah</td>
<td>G 0</td>
<td>115 1 520 2 797 3 515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL-operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester</td>
<td>Gloucester</td>
<td>G 15</td>
<td>669 832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>G 1.4</td>
<td>60 153 199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>G 142</td>
<td>271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total AGL operated fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4 75 964 1 302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metgasco (South Casino)</td>
<td>Clarence-Morton</td>
<td>G 3</td>
<td>357 2 209 1 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NSW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 193 2 881 6 338 4 892</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total of 3P and 2C is 83,014 PJ
(1.6) Balancing it

So from (1.4) we have a total gas demand until 2050 of 94,800 PJ which exceeds

East coast 2P reserves of 32,530 by 190%
East coast 3P reserves of 53,266 by 78%
East coast 3P + 2C resources by 14%

This means project participants and government bureaucracies are working on a set of numerous untested assumptions, namely that 3P and later 2C resources can be turned into 2P reserves,

- at the right price and at the right speed by drilling 1,000s of wells pa.
- fighting all land holders who are against it
- finding finance during the evolving debt crisis – which will NOT go away

It needs to be understood that the cost of producing gas is increasing as gas is produced. This graph shows how offshore production costs in Victoria almost triple as reserves are being developed and produced:


This will be similar with non-conventional gas. It is to be expected that the gas industry will develop, produce and export the easy and cheap coal seam gas 2P reserves first (80% of 2P reserves for LNG is already consumed by 2026) and then proceed to the more expensive 3P and 2C resources. This means that when the gas industry will be called upon to supply gas for the domestic market, eg. for an oil to gas transition as calculated in chapter 2 there will be a big and bad surprise.

(1.6a) Calculation up to 2035 (=end of 1st 20 years of LNG exports), roughly

| Latrobe gas conversion | 3,560 PJ |
| Offset conventional gas decline | 12,300 PJ |
| 4 LNG projects | 39,700 PJ |
| **Total** | **55,560 PJ also exceeding current 2P reserves** |
(2) Replacing declining oil production by gas

The points covered above deal with what can be found in the documentation referenced above. But what is being forgotten is that there is a need to replace declining oil production both domestically and globally by using gas as a transport fuel. Appendix 2 describes the current oil supply situation and Australia’s dependency on oil imports.

We have currently 1,200 PJ of petrol and diesel on the East coast to be replaced by gas.

The data for the above graphs are from the Australian Petroleum Statistics


Speed of the transition from oil to gas

(2.1) Linear transition

Most models assume a smooth and peaceful transition, e.g:
CSIRO: Road transport sector modelling (July 2011)

http://www.treasury.gov.au/carbonpricemodelling/content/consultants_reports/CSIRO_Road_transport_sector_modellingv2.pdf

Therefore, let us assume linearly over 40 years (up to the end of Treasury’s projection period) a transition away from oil.

40 years * 0.5 * 1,200 PJ pa = 24,000 PJ

Such a linear transition would already require 300 PJ pa by 2020. The total transition to 1,200 PJ pa is the equivalent of 5.5 LNG export trains.

This simple calculation does not include any growth in demand for petrol and gas so this would be on the low side.

It could also be higher because 1 PJ of gas may yield less vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) or ton km of freight as 1 PJ of petrol or diesel would achieve.
(2.2) Oil decline until 2020

Prof. Aleklett from ASPO [http://www.peakoil.net/about-aspo/aspo-president](http://www.peakoil.net/about-aspo/aspo-president) has calculated that the assumed oil extraction rates of the International Energy Agency in its WEO 2010 are too high and that crude oil production will decline by 6.8 mb/d by 2015 and 7.5 mb/d by 2020, around 10%.

Global crude oil exports have already peaked in 2005.
Many oil exporters have become also importers in the last decade so net oil exports available on the global market have shrunk even more than the total volume of exports. Therefore, global net crude oil exports will decline faster than global production.

As an example, in the Middle East, petroleum consumption went up by 2.4 mb/d in the last 10 years (left). China also eats into the cake of crude oil exports available to the rest of the world (right).

The following graph shows net crude oil exports (exports – imports) of 90% of crude oil exporters. China - although not a major oil exporter - is included with 3.9 mb/d of imports in 2009 so that the net oil exports reflect the oil available to the rest of the world, a point which was made by petroleum geologist Jeff Brown in response to a recent article in the Wall Street Journal “There will be oil”:

An additional metric is Available Net Exports (ANE), which we define as Global Net oil exports less Chindia's (China + India’s) combined net oil imports.

Net crude exports peaked in 2005 and declined by 3.8 mb/d in 4 years. If this trend continues, net crude exports could be down by around 10 mb/d in 2020 or by 30%. Add a decline in production of 10% (see ASPO’s estimate above) and there could be some very dramatic decreases in available net oil exports. It is possible that continuing high oil prices or a recession will reduce demand for oil imports so it is assumed here that the combined effect of production and export decline will still be around 30%.

It should be noted that these scenarios are peaceful scenarios without oil and energy wars in the Middle East. However, as we are in year #7 of peak oil and as we have seen in the last months it is unlikely that the evolving oil crunch will go without fights. When war breaks out in the Middle East it will be bitterly regretted that no oil to gas transition was done earlier.

(2.3) Oil replacement requirement until 2020

Australia’s oil import dependency is 80%.

![Australian import dependency of fuels Jan 2010 (last 12 months)](chart)

So we would need to replace until 2020

Petrol: 0.3 * 16,400 ML = 4920 ML = 172 PJ pa (@ 35 MJ / litre)
Diesel: 0.3 * 16,600 ML = 4980 ML = 181 PJ pa (@ 36.4 MJ / litre)

Annualy 353 PJ pa, this is the equivalent of 1.6 LNG trains (1 train = 4 mt pa or 220 PJ pa)
Appendix 1: The equivalent of conventional gas reserves consumed in just 20 years

From the Australian Energy Resource Assessment chapter 4 on gas (West coast and East coast together, March 2010) we find this estimated production profile:

![Production Profile](http://adl.brs.gov.au/data/warehouse/pe_aera_d9aae_002/aeraCh_04.pdf)

**Figure 4.3** Outlook to 2030 for the Australian gas market

*Source: ABARE 2009a, 2010*

The total assumed to be produced between 2009 and 2030 is 120,000 PJ, 37% domestic consumption and 63% exported. This is approximately the *equivalent* of the total “Economic Demonstrated Resources” of conventional gas (Jan 2009) as can be seen from this table:

### Table 4.7 McKelvey classification estimates by basin as at 1 January 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>McKelvey Class.</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>PJ</th>
<th>tcf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDR</td>
<td>Camarvon</td>
<td>81 400</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDR</td>
<td>Browse</td>
<td>18 700</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDR</td>
<td>Bonaparte</td>
<td>11 000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDR</td>
<td>Gippsland</td>
<td>7 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDR</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total EDR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>122 100</strong></td>
<td><strong>111</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR</td>
<td>Camarvon</td>
<td>22 000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR</td>
<td>Browse</td>
<td>17 600</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR</td>
<td>Bonaparte</td>
<td>15 400</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR</td>
<td>Gippsland</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total SDR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>58 300</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total (EDR + SDR) : 180 400 tcf (164)

Inferred Resources: ~22 000 tcf (~20)

Total: 202 400 tcf (184)

*Source: Geoscience Australia 2009*

The gas resources are classified as EDR and SDR:

**Conventional gas**

**EDR** = Economic Demonstrated Resources (2P = proved and probable)

**SDR** = Subeconomic Demonstrated Resources

Will the SDR ever be produced? Can the economy afford to pay higher prices for this gas?
The above production profile contains coal seam gas with an assumed production of 2,507 PJ pa in 2030, from following reserves:

Please note that CSG EDR and SDR have increased since this assessment (as quoted from Energy Quest above) but the total production and use of gas in comparison to EDR reserves is shockingly high. **The public is being told gas reserves will last x hundred years at current production levels.** But these production levels will be increased almost 10 fold. So the gas industry’s arguments are misleading just like the oil industry’s argument that oil supplies will last for 40 years.

It is an untested assumption that an economy damaged by peak oil is strong enough to finance the development of inferred gas resources for which no production cost estimates have been done.

Peak oil and LNG export concerns were already raised in 2004 with the Howard government

http://www.crudeoilpeak.com/?p=208

8/7/2011 "Yes, Prime Minister", peak oil 2006 under your watch
Appendix 2 – peak oil

Global crude oil production remained on a bumpy plateau since 2005.

OPEC has peaked. We can clearly see that Saudi Arabia could not immediately increase production to compensate for production losses in Libya. The Strategic Oil Reserve had to be used. The IEA definition of spare capacity is to activate extra production within 30 days and maintain it for 90 days. Further details are on my websites:

http://www.crudeoilpeak.com/ and http://crudeoilpeak.info/
Disintegration of MENA countries

Peak oil in several key countries has left dictators with less money to distribute to their oppressed population:

**Egypt must import oil now at world market prices**

**Yemen’s oil peak**

**Sudan: oil in the South, pipelines in the North**

**Libya: surprises ahead**

**Iran heading towards oil export extinction**

**Saudi exports have peaked**

<<< OPEC paper barrels crossed out by Ex-Saudi Aramco chief Sadad al Husseini at an oil and money conference in October 2007 in London, organised by Energy Intelligence.

The equivalent of 30 years OPEC oil supplies are speculative resources, not easily or economically recoverable reserves.
Australian oil decline

Australian crude oil equivalent to almost 4 LNG trains will be gone in just a decade.

Question: Why are we exporting all our energy?

Crude oil production in WA increased again in 2010 but the underlying decline in maturing fields is clearly visible. Once the new fields peak the decline rate is given by these old fields.

Read: 11/7/2011 WA crude oil depleted by 75%
http://crudeoilpeak.info/wa-crude-oil-depleted-by-75-pct
Appendix 3: Green house gas emissions from CSG and priority use of gas

(a) LNG exports

Total global CO2 emissions will be reduced by LNG exports from CSG only if there are binding intergovernmental agreements in place which force destination countries to leave an energy equivalent amount of coal in the ground, for good. This is because CO2 is a long lived gas 30% of which stays in the atmosphere for more than 100 years. See Bern’s CO2 impulse response function

http://unfccc.int/resource/brazil/tuning_carbon/bern_irf.gif

from this article:
http://unfccc.int/resource/brazil/carbon.html

It is NOT sufficient to argue that CO2 emissions from e.g. CCGT power plants in which LNG might be used are lower than those from coal fired power plants. The existing coal fired power plants in the destination countries must be REPLACED. If this is not done, CO2 concentrations in the atmosphere will just increase as CO2 from gas is added on top of that from coal. Australian LNG burnt in Japanese power plants replacing nuclear power will add to CO2 emissions 100%. It is not Australia’s national job to solve energy problems in other countries.

NASA climatologist James Hansen has calculated that on a global basis the production of 50% of non-conventional gas will increase CO2 concentration in the atmosphere by 50 ppm


(b) CSG gas for CCGT power plants in Australia

Santos writes in its submission 337 (page 5):

“Gas fired power stations can replace higher carbon dioxide emitting coal fired power stations”

“can”, yes, but they don’t. On page 13 Santos writes:
“1,500 MW of new gas fired baseload generation has also been added to the NEM in the last ten years.”

Santos fails to submit a list of coal fired power plants which have been replaced. The same applies to the peaking generation plus renewable energies. Also on page 13:

_Since 2001, 4,300MW of gas fired peaking generation capacity has been added to the NEM. This trend of increasing renewable generation and complementary gas fired generation is expected to continue and increase as more intermittent renewable capacity is commissioned to meet the MRET targets._

Even if Australian coal fired power plants were replaced, if the coal so saved is then exported, there is no reduction in CO2. In fact, there is no intention whatsoever of the Federal government to reduce coal production:

Just a couple of days ago, on 22/9/2011, the Climate Change Minister (!!!) proudly announced:

_Mr COMBET (Charlton—Minister for Climate Change and Energy Efficiency) (15:03):

............

But let’s look at the coal industry and the facts in relation to that as well. The allegation made is that the industry will be destroyed; the fact is jobs will grow. Investment is growing—$70 billion of investment—19 new mines are coming._


In other words, to sum it up, coal seam gas _in the context of other policies (or lack thereof)_ will just prolong Australia’s fossil fuel addiction and INCREASE over-all emissions and the amount of CO2 remaining in the atmosphere.

(c.) Coal seam gas replacing oil

CO2 emissions from burning crude oil will go down with declining oil production. NASA climatologist James Hansen has assumed in his calculations that all oil will ultimately be burned and end up in the atmosphere as CO2 no matter whether we increase fuel efficiency in vehicles or not. When replacing oil by gas as transport fuel CO2 emissions will at least not go up. But like with all other gas uses, priorities will have to be set when converting to gas as transport fuel:

(i) Emergency vehicles  
(ii) Agricultural machinery and trucks transporting agricultural implements and bringing food to the cities  
(iii) Locomotives and all machinery and trucks working on rail (but not highway) projects  
(iv) Trucks and diesel operated machinery used in utility sector (water, sewer, power etc.) and the renewable energy sector in particular  
(iv) Trucks transporting other essential goods, materials and inputs for industry to work but not unnecessary consumer goods  
.....list to be continued but the above gives an idea

The last priority would be to convert private cars to CNG.

The only sustainable land transport system is electric rail using renewable energies (solar thermal, geothermal, wind etc. – they all produce electricity, not fuels)
Appendix 4: Future of coal 10 years – will lead to serious electricity crisis

8/3/2010

NASA climatologist James Hansen at Sydney Uni: "Australia doesn't agree now that they got to stop their coal, but they are going to agree. I can guarantee you that within a decade or so because the climate change will become so strongly apparent that's going to become imperative"


One of the critical tipping points is the melting of the Arctic summer sea ice which will not only lead to more absorption of sunlight from space but will also change the whole climate on the Northern hemisphere in yet unknown ways.

Arctic sea ice volume estimated by NSIDC >>

Current trends suggest that sea ice volume in September goes towards zero already in this decade.

http://psc.apl.washington.edu/wordpress/research/projects/arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/

At present, every month is wasted in Parliamentary debates instead of getting on with the job of replacing coal fired power plants with renewable energies like solar and wind. Moreover, new, energy hungry projects like Barangaroo and many other high rise developments have been approved and/or are under construction, which will add to more demand for coal fired electricity. This energy ignorance will worsen the evolving electricity crisis.


Flooded coal mine near Rockhampton: Revenge of nature as moisture in the atmosphere increases with global warming. Read Tony Jones’ interview with James Hansen: http://www.abc.net.au/lateline/content/2008/s2764523.htm
Question:
How do energy planners think that will be in 10 years time?
Huge compensation claims will have to be paid by the fossil fuel industry

Salt water intrusion in coastal areas of Bangladesh from sea level rises and storms destroys all vegetation and farmland

M.H. Talukder, State Minister, Water Resources (Bangladesh)

"Regionally, we are sufferer, and it is not created by us, it is our national argument that the responsible parties must compensate for it"

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UisJSsPw-U0

Every LNG ship, every coal ship will generate future compensation claims which will be acted and decided upon by courts, possibly even by the ICC which deals with genocide.

Already with existing CO2 in the atmosphere there will be sea level rises which will flood major river deltas in South East Asia resulting in mass migration to where? Australia’s North which has also a monsoon season climate will be a major destination.

9/4/2010

Australian Population Scenarios in the context of oil decline and global warming
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